Performance Indicators for 2011/12

Efficiency	Objective: To provide maximum assurance to inform the annual audit opinion				
КРІ	Measure of Assessment	Target & (Frequency of	Q1 Actual		
		Measurement)			
Planned audits completed	% of planned assurance work from original approved plan complete to	90%	13%		
	draft report stage as at 31 st	(Quarterly)	Forecast outturn still		
			on target		
Timeliness of Draft Reports	% of draft reports issued within 30 Calendar days of end of	90%	91%		
	fieldwork/closure interview	(Quarterly)			
			17 days on average		
	Average time taken is also reported for information				
Timeliness of Final Reports	% of final reports issued within 14 calendar days of receipt of	95%	100%		
	management response	(Quarterly)			
	Average time taken is also reported for information		3 days on average		
Quarterly Progress Reports	Quarterly progress reports issued to Corporate Directors within one	100%	100%		
	month of end of period	(Quarterly)			
Terms of Reference	% of TOR's agreed with key contact in advance of fieldwork commencing	95% (Quarterly)	100%		
Quality	Objective: To ensure that the service is effective and adding value				
КРІ	Measure of Assessment	Target & (Frequency of			
		Measurement)			
Recommendations agreed	% of Recommendations made compared with recommendations accepted	95%	100%		
		(Quarterly)			
Post Audit Customer	% of customers scoring audit service good or above (4 out of 5) where 1	100%	100%		
Satisfaction Survey Feedback	is poor and 5 is very good	(Quarterly)	Overall average		
			score 4.50		
Customers providing feedback	% of Customer returning satisfaction returns	70%	60%		
Response		(Quarterly)			
Cost	Objective: To ensure that the service is cost effective				
KPI	Measure of Assessment	Target & (Frequency of			
		Measurement)			

Cost per chargeable audit day	CIPFA Benchmarking Club – Comparator Group (Unitary)	Lower than average	
		(Annually)	